

DRAFT MINUTES

**California Connect
Telecommunications Access for the Deaf and Disabled Administrative
Committee (TADDAC) Meeting**

September 26, 2025

California Connect's (also known as the Deaf & Disabled Telecommunications Program) Telecommunications Access for the Deaf and Disabled Administrative Committee (TADDAC) held a hybrid public Committee Meeting on Webex and at the Hampton Inn & Suites by Hilton LAX El Segundo, 888 N. Pacific Coast Hwy., El Segundo, CA 90245.

TADDAC Members Present

Frances Reyes Acosta, At Large Seat -DDTP Spanish Services User (WebEx)
Jesse Acosta, At Large Seat - Veterans Community
Louie Herrera, Disability Community-Blind/Low Vision Community Seat, Vice Chair
Katie Wright, Late-Deafened Community Seat, Chair
Robert Sidansky, Deaf Community Seat (WebEx)
Darren Blackburn, CPUC Public Advocates Office Representative (WebEx)
Kevin Siemens, Disability Community – Speech-to-Speech User Seat (WebEx)
Kenneth Rothschild, Deaf Community Seat

EPAC Non-Voting Liaisons Present:

Brent Jolley, Communications Division, CPUC

CPUC Staff Present:

Matthew Reinig, Committee Coordinator, Communications Division
Tyrone Chin, Communications Division
Karen Luong, Communications Division
Christopher Bartulo, Public Advocate's Office
Charles Abeghe, Communications Division

Others Present:

Amanda Whyrick, California Connect Division President
Chong Vang, Equipment Processing Center Operations Director, CSD
Jennifer Minore, Field Operations Program Director, CSD
Angela Shaw, Field Operations Director, CSD
Aida Cerda, Outreach Director, CSD
Melissa McMahan, Programs Manager, Hamilton Relay

1 Molly Miller, Marketing Director, CSD
2 Matthew Myrick, Testing & Training Director
3 Phua Gilman, Senior Project Manager, CSD
4 Loulia Miller, Maximus
5 Erin Anhouvy, RAID Manager, Maximus
6 Abby Magtoto, Relay Account Manager, Hamilton Relay
7 Tamara Paul-Reeff, Senior Director, Maximus
8 Riva Usher, Contract Director, Maximus
9 Phua Gilman, Senior Project Manager, CSD
10 5102****00
11 6785****49
12 Amanda Raynal
13 April
14 Jessica J
15 John Baxter
16 Kerrie Danielsen
17 Laini Piva
18 Leigh Ann Hubbard
19 Melody Lujano
20 Michael Warburton
21 Miguel
22 Pamela Siebert
23 Richard Ray
24 Ryanna
25 Shaunee Cerny
26 Steve Longo
27 Theresa Emig
28 5102****00
29 Amit Chhabra
30 Andy
31 AS
32 Christman, Hope
33 Kerrie D
34 Kevin Sehlmeier Sonic Alert
35 Melissa McMahan - Hamilton Relay
36 Melody Lujano
37 RH
38 Roger Pflieger
39 Shaunee Cerny
40 Sonic Alert Kevin Sehlmeier
41 Steve Longo
42 Theresa Emig (PPCA)

1 TADDAC Chair Katie Wright called the meeting to order at 10:10 AM.

2
3 **I. Administrative Business**

4 **A. Roll Call of TADDAC Members**

5 TADDAC Chair Katie Wright performed a roll call. Louis Herrera was
6 absent during the roll-call but appeared after lunch.

7
8 **B. Agenda Review and Approval**

9 Moved by Jesse Acosta, seconded by Robert Sidansky, and with no
10 opposition, the Agenda was approved as stated.

11
12 **C. Approval of Meeting Minutes from June 27, 2025**

13 The minutes were approved without opposition and Kenneth Rothschild
14 abstained.

15
16 **D. TADDAC Action Items from April 25, 2025**

17
18 **Action Item #68: Committee members to assist CTAP and CRS Vendor**
19 **outreach efforts by emailing information or reporting on community**
20 **events to the DDTP Committee Coordinator.**

21 No updates. This action item remains open.

22
23 **Action Item #76: Katie Wright will work with the CPUC Representative to**
24 **get amplified and captioned telephones for future emergency evacuation**
25 **shelters throughout California.**

26 Katie Wright emphasized the importance of this action item for the
27 upcoming fire season. This action item remains open.

28
29 **Action Item #99: TADDAC will make an effort to recruit new Members for**
30 **its four open seats. TADDAC is seeking a Mobility Impaired, Youth, Hard**
31 **of Hearing, and an At-Large Community Representative.**

32 There are eight vacant seats in TADDAC: Disability representative,
33 Spanish Services, Deaf Community, Hard of Hearing Community, Late-
34 Deafened, Speech-to-Speech Community, Mobility and At-Large. Matthew
35 Reinig shared an email template for members to recruit new members for the
36 open seats. He also encouraged members to share quotes or create a video
37 testimony that can be posted on social media. This action item remains open.

38
39 **Action Item #112: Develop an emergency readiness kit (“go-bag”) to**
40 **provide emergency readiness information to seniors and people with**
41 **disabilities.**

1 A webpage listing various resources shared by committee members
2 relating to emergency preparedness will be developed by CSD Marketing.
3 Additionally, social media posts and outreach efforts will ensue to raise
4 awareness about emergency preparedness.

5
6 **Action Item #113: Review the accessibility of the [California Connect](#)**
7 **[website](#).**

8 Committee members shared their feedback with CSD Marketing on the
9 website. Detailed feedback can be found in the 9-26-2025 meeting minutes.

10
11 **II. EPAC Updates**

12 EPAC Chair Steve Longo provided an update on EPAC. EPAC closed
13 action item 32, pertaining to the equipment survey. They are in the process of
14 receiving equipment to begin the testing process. EPAC is actively working on
15 recruiting new members for the open seats, collaborating with Molly on the
16 public relations/marketing efforts. There are two Disability Representative
17 open seats.

18
19 **III. CPUC & CA Connect/DDTP Update**

20 Tyrone Chin provided updates on the Public Participation Hearings,
21 PPCA, ERP, EPC, CRS-6 RFP, and staffing updates.

22
23 **Public Participation Hearings (PPH):** A Public Participation Hearing
24 took place in the Greater Los Angeles area on September 3, 2025, with two
25 sessions: one at 2:00 p.m. had no public commenters, and another at 6:00
26 p.m. that featured two comments. The next Public Participation Hearing was
27 on September 17, 2025, at the Ed Roberts Campus, following an educational
28 session aimed at preparing participants to provide meaningful input. Outreach
29 efforts were coordinated by the Center for Independent Living (CIL) aim to
30 increase attendance. The turnout during the afternoon session was
31 noteworthy, with nearly 30 attendees with disabilities, nine providing
32 comments in person and another 20 participating remotely. The comments
33 were helpful, since they were germane to the session issues. The evening
34 session had two people providing comments.

35
36 **Primary and Program Contract Administrator (PPCA):** On
37 September 4, 2025, Maximus received orders by California Services for the
38 Deaf CSD) for testing and training equipment. CPUC is moving through the
39 procurement process for distribution to committee members.

1 **Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP):** The California Department of
2 Technology is expected to release new bids around April or May 2026, with
3 plans to award contracts by July 2026.

4
5 **Equipment Processing center (EPC):** The existing EPC contract will
6 undergo amendments for two six-month extensions through a non-competitive
7 bid to avoid service disruptions.

8
9 **CRS-6 RFP update:** The Communications Division continues to work
10 with the California Department of Technology on the CRS-6 RFP.

11
12 **Staffing update:** Charlotte Taylor is no longer with the California Public
13 Utilities Commission (CPUC). Her contributions to the DDTP, particularly with
14 speech-generating device applications, will be missed.

15
16 **Marketing of Open Seats:** Matthew Reinig provided an update on the
17 marketing of open seats. Matthew encouraged members to participate in
18 creating videos or sharing quotes for social media posts in promotion of the
19 open seats. A script and video guidelines will be shared before the next
20 meeting. There are two potential leads.

21 22 **IV. Katie's Presentation on COLR Panel**

23 Katie Wright presented, and the presentation is on pages 23-38.

24 Kenneth Rothschild raised concerns regarding how changes to their
25 charter might affect landline requirements and the potential influx of requests
26 for new technology. He questioned whether this would lead to an expectation
27 for the provision of devices like computers and smartphones, and services like
28 Video Remote Interpreting (VRI). Katie acknowledged Rothschild's concerns,
29 stating that the goal of modernization is to adapt the charter to support
30 services compatible with the phasing out of copper wire. While recognizing the
31 complexity of the transition, Katie emphasized the need to proceed with
32 updating their technology.

33 Robert Sidansky added that while transitioning from old to new
34 technology presents challenges, it is essential to keep moving forward and
35 evolving. He highlighted the importance of having a solid transition plan in
36 place, which would include addressing any unforeseen issues that might arise.
37 Katie reminded the group that the responsibility for the transition work would
38 fall to the CPUC, not the committee itself. They expressed the necessity of re-
39 evaluating the definition of basic service, as it had not been updated since
40 2012, indicating that it is time to reassess the program's relevance and
41 importance to the state.

1 Frances Reyes Acosta expressed her gratitude for the comprehensive
2 work presented and highlighted the importance of addressing the Carrier of
3 Last Resort issue, which she noted AT&T has pursued due to the financial
4 implications. She emphasized the need for AT&T to support necessary
5 changes to promote diversity, equity, and inclusion, stressing that these
6 changes are long overdue. Frances urged her group to be proactive in
7 addressing these issues and noted that Katie had provided helpful information
8 and resources for advocacy.

9 Katie acknowledged Frances's points and reflected on previous
10 discussions about Video Remote Interpreting (VRI), cell phones, and iPad and
11 their reliance on broadband, emphasizing that the program would be
12 significantly impacted without the necessary resources. She emphasized the
13 seven critical devices in the program that would be impacted by the COLR
14 decision and phasing out of copper wires. Kenneth Rothschild raised concerns
15 about the financial implications of potential changes, questioning whether
16 AT&T would provide assistance and where the funds would come from for
17 new equipment. Katie pondered AT&T's profits and their ability to support
18 necessary repairs, suggesting that cost-cutting measures could lead to job
19 losses for technicians responsible for maintaining infrastructure.

20 Frances Reyes Acosta expressed her belief that financial considerations
21 are central to the issue at hand. She noted that AT&T's transition from copper
22 wire necessitates a reevaluation of how they address the needs of the
23 community and the organization. Frances acknowledged the potential job
24 losses that might occur as AT&T seeks to cut costs and suggested that the
25 displaced workers could be retrained to provide broadband services in
26 underserved areas. She emphasized that skill sets in any job are multifaceted
27 and that employees often need to adapt to various tasks. Ultimately, she
28 indicated that the responsibility lies with AT&T and what the community
29 demands in return for their contract. Katie inquired about the possibility of a
30 public participation hearing related to the rule-making process, and Brent
31 Jolley replied that more information could be found on the COLR rulemaking
32 website.

33 The discussion highlighted the group's collective responsibility to
34 advocate for the needs of disabled individuals in California.

36 **V. Emergency Preparedness Kit or “Go-Bag”**

38 The discussion focused on the development of an emergency
39 preparedness kit, or Go-Bag, specifically tailored for individuals with various
40 disabilities. Katie sought input on whether members had created lists of
41 essential items. Katie introduced the idea of utilizing resources from websites
42 like ready.gov, which offer guidance on what should be included in emergency

1 kits. Frances emphasized the importance of inclusivity in emergency planning,
2 particularly for individuals who are blind or deaf, and suggested consulting
3 organizations such as the California Governor's Office of Emergency Services
4 for additional resources.

5
6 Katie proposed creating a resource page on their California Connect website
7 to provide essential information for people with disabilities regarding
8 emergency preparedness. Frances supported this idea and recommended
9 gathering input from the Access and Functional Needs (AFN) group during
10 joint meetings to ensure the created Go-Bag list is viable and trustworthy.
11 Frances also suggested reaching out to local independent living centers to
12 understand their outreach on emergency preparedness and gathering
13 feedback from various organizations within their communities to assess their
14 preparedness levels, then compiling the resources page to share with
15 organizations.

16 Matthew Reinig shared he had received three emails with valuable
17 information. He indicated that he and a colleague, Molly, could collaborate on
18 organizing these resources. Matthew also noted the ongoing development of
19 emergency kit equipment, such as backup batteries, highlighting that these
20 efforts were still in progress and tied to the broader program for emergency
21 preparedness. He suggested that once the resources were refined, Molly
22 could create social media posts to keep the community informed, particularly
23 during fire season. Katie chimed in with a suggestion regarding the service
24 center field agents, who have firsthand knowledge of the disabilities faced by
25 clients. They proposed distributing flyers or equipment as a public service to
26 raise awareness about emergency preparedness.

27 28 **VI. Program Vendor Reports**

29 **A. Marketing Report**

30 Molly Miller, Marketing Director, presented, and the presentation is on
31 pages 39 to 50.

32 Molly Miller addressed several marketing topics that were raised earlier
33 in the meeting. She noted that the team would be enhancing resources on
34 emergency kits and preparedness over the coming weeks, with contributions
35 from Brent, including materials from the AFN library. Additionally, they are in
36 the process of developing next year's annual marketing plan, which will
37 prominently feature emergency preparedness. Molly also discussed efforts to
38 promote committee membership, mentioning targeted ads and upcoming
39 social media posts and emails designed to encourage applications. She plans
40 to provide both a detailed script and a more flexible outline for current
41 members, along with tips for self-taping.

1 Jesse Acosta inquired about the caregiver campaign and its target
2 audience. Molly explained that their research identified women aged 30 to 60,
3 primarily English and Spanish speakers, as their main demographic. She
4 described the campaign's goal of informing caregivers about communication
5 difficulties faced by family members and encouraging them to explore the
6 services offered by California Connect, including specialized devices. Jesse
7 asked for specific examples of individuals who might need such devices. Molly
8 shared the case of Theo, a child featured in their marketing campaign, whose
9 mother was researching assistive devices for communication, an
10 Augmentative & Alternative Communication (AAC) device. Jesse then
11 provided a personal example of his own challenges following a traumatic brain
12 injury (TBI), asking about the devices available for similar needs. Molly
13 mentioned that detailed inquiries about specific devices should be directed to
14 the field operations team, who would be presenting later.

15 Louie Herrera expressed concerns regarding the accessibility of
16 products for the blind and inquired whether resources, including a Braille
17 handout and alternative digital formats on USB drives or SD cards, would be
18 provided for newly blinded individuals who may not read Braille. Molly affirmed
19 that her team was exploring these options to ensure accessibility for all
20 consumers.

21 Louie went on to discuss issues with the California Connect website,
22 noting that the search functionality was not compatible with any of the three
23 major screen readers for Windows. He highlighted problems with improperly
24 labeled headings that could confuse blind users navigating the site. Louie
25 explained that ISO standards exist for digital content formatting, which
26 stipulate that headings should be clearly defined to avoid creating confusion
27 when navigating web pages. He also pointed out difficulties he and Jesse
28 experienced when trying to access specific links, noting inconsistencies in
29 how links appeared on different devices. Louie suggested that the
30 development team investigate the link coding to resolve these accessibility
31 issues, ensuring a smoother experience for users. Additionally, Louie shared
32 that the California Connect website, highlighting that there were issues with
33 color contrast that could affect accessibility for users.

34 Molly asked if Louie uses Mac or Windows, with Louie sharing he uses
35 both, relying on various screen readers like JAWS, NVDA, and VoiceOver,
36 depending on the platform. He mentioned utilizing Chrome and Edge on
37 Windows and offered to share resources regarding ISO standards and their
38 relation to WCAG guidelines. He specifically recommended a visit to the
39 Accenture website, which adheres to these standards in web page
40 construction. Molly expressed appreciation for Louie's input and planned to
41 consult their developer about the different platforms. Matt indicated that he
42 would compile all feedback to send to Molly.

1 Louie Herrera informed Molly Miller that he had sent an email to Matt
2 regarding accessibility testing for their development team. He recommended
3 using webaim.org, which provides a comprehensive, open-source tool to
4 evaluate web accessibility.

5 Robert Sidansky raised concerns about how to reach individuals,
6 particularly senior citizens, who lack access to technology and may be
7 apprehensive about it. Molly Miller responded, highlighting the organization's
8 challenges connecting with rural populations and seniors. She mentioned that
9 they are collaborating with direct mail services and local media outlets, such
10 as radio and news, to improve outreach efforts. Jesse Acosta inquired
11 whether senior citizen centers had been considered as potential outreach
12 locations. Molly noted that the outreach team was analyzing leads that
13 included senior centers as a focus area. Jesse suggested identifying specific
14 senior centers and independent living facilities for outreach, comparing the
15 strategy to tactics used by religious groups that go door-to-door to spread their
16 messages.

17 18 **B. Testing and Training Report**

19 Amanda Whyrick, CA Connect Division President, presented and the
20 presentation is on page 51 to 57. Amanda introduced the new Testing &
21 Training Director, Matthew Myrick.

22 Jesse Acosta raised concerns about the testing process for equipment
23 intended for blind and low vision individuals. He inquired whether the recently
24 hired testers and trainers would be involved in hands-on testing. Amanda
25 confirmed that there would be hands-on testing with the Committee and that
26 they could recruit volunteers for additional testing. Jesse then asked which
27 devices would be available for blind users to test, to which Amanda responded
28 that several options would be provided, including the BlindShell 3 and Orbit
29 Reader.

30 Jesse expressed that a joint meeting twice a year was insufficient and
31 suggested more time was needed for thorough evaluations. Amanda clarified
32 that when products are received for testing, they would be sent directly to
33 members' homes with a proposed 30-day trial period. Jesse agreed that this
34 approach was beneficial, noting a past example where phones were tested
35 similarly. Katie raised questions about when the committee will test the
36 equipment. Amanda assured her that details would be presented in the next
37 month's meeting, explaining that equipment had already been ordered and
38 that a procurement process was underway. She detailed the process for
39 testing, including receiving devices, providing feedback through surveys, and
40 reconvening to discuss recommendations based on the testing outcomes.
41 Amanda promised to provide further clarification in the upcoming meeting.

1 Katie expressed concern about having more equipment presentations,
2 as they prefer not to do that in their meeting time. She emphasized the
3 importance of having hands-on experience with the devices, especially Louie
4 and Jesse testing the reliability of certain devices as blind individuals. Brent
5 acknowledged these concerns and wanted to ensure everyone on both
6 committees had an equal opportunity to test the devices. Amanda contributed
7 by mentioning the commitment to working with individuals with disabilities who
8 can test and share their experiences with various devices. She offered to send
9 the list of equipment to Matt, clarifying that testing would not occur during the
10 meeting but rather on participants' own time at home.

11 Kenneth Rothschild sought clarification on the progress of the
12 equipment testing process referred to as waves. Amanda explained that they
13 had completed wave one, which involved testing eight devices, and were
14 currently in wave two, set to test 24 new devices. Wave three was still in
15 development and expected to be launched around November. Amanda
16 proposed sharing the device list with the committee for feedback and planning
17 the testing logistics for wave three.

18 Frances Reyes Acosta expressed her interest in testing devices,
19 particularly the Smartwatch, but mentioned that she had not received any
20 feedback after submitting her preferences. She requested a brief overview of
21 the devices being considered by the company to better understand their
22 purposes.

23 Louie Herrera raised two points, first inquiring about a knowledge base
24 that would compile information on the testing of devices and their respective
25 pros and cons. He stressed the importance of having this resource to assist
26 consumers in the event of issues. Additionally, he showed interest in testing
27 the 3S device to evaluate its accessibility for individuals with dexterity
28 problems. Amanda Whyrick responded, noting that the RIVO3S was officially
29 part of the program and would be distributed accordingly. Louie emphasized
30 the necessity of including a deaf-blind member on the EPAC to evaluate
31 tactile devices effectively. Amanda reassured that volunteers with specific
32 disabilities are recruited to test products, ensuring that each device is
33 reviewed by someone who would use it.

34 Katie highlighted the need for balanced dialogue during joint meetings
35 and proposed that EPAC present selected items for discussion, ensuring
36 collaboration without undermining their role.

37 38 **C. Field Operations Report**

39 Jennifer Minore, Field Operations Director, presented and the
40 presentation is on page 58 to 66.

41 Minore addressed Jesse Acosta's concerns regarding his difficulties
42 after returning from service. Jesse explained that he faced both challenges in

1 getting words out and being understood. Jennifer presented various options to
2 help, including an antistutter device that utilizes delayed auditory feedback,
3 iPads for speech generation, speech-generating devices (SGDs), and voice
4 amplifiers for those with weaker voices. She emphasized the importance of
5 consulting with a speech therapist to determine the most suitable approach for
6 Jesse.

7 Kenneth Rothschild inquired about a noticeable spike in visit reasons in
8 July, questioning the data chart that showed over 94 reasons for visits.
9 Jennifer acknowledged the confusion and clarified that each visit might involve
10 multiple reasons, such as processing applications and receiving equipment or
11 training.

12 **D. Outreach Report**

13 Aida Cerda, Outreach Director, reported on Outreach updates, and the
14 presentation is on page 67 to 73.

15 Aida Cerda addressed questions from Jesse Acosta regarding senior
16 services and centers. She noted that their organization includes seven senior
17 centers as part of their target sectors. Aida explained that they would soon
18 begin public outreach, focusing on face-to-face interactions while also
19 leveraging existing contacts in their database. This outreach is expected to
20 take place within the next two to three months.

21 When asked about a previous pause in public-facing activities, Aida
22 clarified that the organization had temporarily halted active outreach to focus
23 on team onboarding and the launch of a new database. Now that the team is
24 fully established, they have received permission to engage actively with the
25 public.

26 Katie inquired about opportunities for webinars, expressing a willingness
27 to promote them through the Hearing Loss Association of America (HLAA).
28 Aida confirmed that her team could facilitate presentations regarding the
29 California Connect program and offered to share outreach contact information
30 for further coordination. She emphasized the importance of establishing
31 relationships with organizations to ensure ongoing collaboration and effective
32 outreach efforts.

33 Louie Herrera inquired about the correlation between the outreach
34 efforts and field operations. He also questioned why the Braille satellite office
35 in Echo Park was chosen over the Braille Institute on Vermont and Melrose,
36 which is more centrally located and accessible. Jennifer Minore responded
37 that the decision was led by Angela Shaw, the former fields operations
38 director, who evaluated over 70 potential locations. Among the few that
39 expressed interest, Echo Park was deemed the best fit, although the exact
40 reasons for not selecting the other site were unclear. Aida Cerda added that
41 their departments have different focuses but do collaborate. The outreach
42

1 team, which she is part of, is dedicated to creating and maintaining
2 partnerships through various interactions, while the FO team, represented by
3 Jennifer, is more focused on staffing service centers and providing
4 individualized assistance.

5 **E. CRS-5 Report**

6 Abby Magtoto, Relay Account Manager, presented, and the presentation
7 is on pages 74 to 77.

8 Melissa provided an update regarding a recent experience her team had
9 while working with a speech-to-speech consumer in California who needed to
10 make a phone call to a Spanish-speaking individual. This situation was new
11 for the team and required thorough investigation into their processes. They
12 discovered that, according to FCC regulations, a second Communication
13 Assistant (CA) was needed for calls involving different types of Relay
14 Services. After consulting with the California Public Utilities Commission
15 (CPUC), it was confirmed that having two CAs was justified for accessibility
16 reasons.

17 Initially, they faced a hurdle when they learned that a specific tag on the
18 customer's profile prevented the bilingual CA from joining the call. With the
19 customer's agreement, the team removed the tag and made adjustments to
20 the customer's profile. They recommended creating a speed dial option but
21 ultimately found that the most effective solution was to maintain the regular
22 process by connecting the user to a Telecommunications Relay Service CA
23 and transferring them to a bilingual assistant as needed.

24 After making these changes, they conducted a successful test call where
25 the caller was able to communicate effectively, thanks to the bilingual CA.
26 Melissa expressed her gratitude for the CPUC's support and acknowledged
27 the collaboration with Matthew Reinig, as well as the customer's patience
28 during the process.

30 **F. Equipment Processing Center (EPC) Report**

31 Chong Vang, EPC Operations Director, presented and the presentation
32 is on page 78 to 87.

34 **VII. Public Input – PM Session**

35 There was no public input at this time.

37 **VIII. New Business**

38 **A. Future Meetings and Agendas**

39 Katie Wright inquired about having pieces of equipment presented during
40 the joint meeting. Matthew Reinig stated there is a full agenda but Brent Jolley
41 interjected, saying there can be a table to demo equipment during the
42 meeting, separately from the agenda. The November joint meeting agenda will

1 be from 10:00 AM to 4:30 PM at the Hyatt Regency LAX Hotel and includes
2 presentations from Tobbi Dynavox on ACC and Hamilton Relay on Visual
3 STS, followed by vendor presentations in the afternoon.
4

5 **B. Report from the Chair**

6 No report.
7

8 **C. Member Reports**

9 Louis Herrera emphasized the importance of cybersecurity and installing
10 the latest updates on your devices.
11

12 **IX. Meeting Wrap up and Adjournment**

13 The meeting was adjourned at 2:42 PM.
14

15 Matthew Reinig prepared the minutes.